The Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Influenza Vaccination among US Adolescents in 2024 Student Name: Zirui Liu Research Advisor: Yunfei Li



Research Question

- . What is the cost-effectiveness of influenza vaccination among adolescents? And how does the cost-effectiveness change as the coverage of influenza vaccination changes?
- . Research scope:

Adolescents in the United States from age 10 to 19.

. Research Hypothesis:

Influenza vaccination is cost-effective for adolescents in the US from the age of 10 to 19 in 2024.

Influenza vaccination coverage of 100% is the most effective.



Background

Influenza(flu)

- Infect the nose, throat, and lungs, which belong to the respiratory system
- Cauesd by virus
- Two types(a and b)
- . Sometimes can be deadly



Figures from Wikipedia \uparrow

High-risk group:

- 1. Young children under age 12
- 2. Pregnant people
- 3. Adults older than age 65
- 4. People live or work with many other residents...



Background

. Influenza In-season Burden:

In this flu season in the US, the Centers for Disease

Control and Prevention (CDC) estimates that:



Figure from CDC↑ https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/burden/preliminary-in-seasonestimates.htm https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/burden/index.html Figure from CDC↓



CDC estimates that flu has resulted in 9.4 million – 41 million illnesses, 100,000 – 710,000 hospitalizations, and 4,900 – 52,000

deaths annually between 2010 and 2022.



Background

. Influenza Vaccination:

The percentage of children under the age of 18 from 2019 to 2022:



CDC states that: "The best way to reduce the risk of flu and its potentially serious complications is by getting vaccinated each year."

Literature Review

There is a consensus that influenza vaccination is either cost-saving or costeffective for children.

Current Research Gaps:

- Some study generalizing the results from different regions and countries, which may be constrained by regional factors.
- The reviews are not based on the most recent datas.
- The age group(for all age, for 2-8 years old...)

Originality of the research:

- Focus on the age group of adolescents which are from age 10 to 19.
- Consider the CEA of influenza vaccination from a healthcare perspective



Research Design/Method

• Model Structure: Decision Tree and Markov Model





Research Design/Method

- Database:
 - ✓ NHIS (Study population)
 - ✓ IHME (Utilities of influenza and side effects)
 - ✓ Relative medical literature (Parameter table \downarrow)

Variable	Base Case	Range of Sensitivity Analysis				
Influenza Vaccination Cov	verage in 2022-2023					
Children under the age 18 years	18 0.459 0.444-0.474					
Probability of Adverse Ef	fect of Vaccination	3 10				
Injection Site Reaction	0.0003	0-0.001				
Anaphylaxis	0.00000025	0-0.00000025				
Guillain-Barré syndrome	0.0000016	0-0.000010				
Vaccination-related Adver	se Effect Costs					
Injection Site Reaction	\$61	\$30-683				
Anaphylaxis	\$2700	\$52-13754				
Guillain-Barré syndrome	\$23360	\$6700-78900				

Table 1. Parameter table of the influenza cost-effectiveness model

Influenza Infection	Rates			
5-17years	0.096	0.029-0.193		
18-49years	0.071	0.022-0.144		
Influenza Vaccine	Effectiveness			
5-11years	0.44	0.33-0.53		
12-17years	0.42	0.28-0.54		
18-49years	0.35	0.24-0.45		
Influenza-attributs	ble Deaths(per 100000 P	opulation)		
5-17years	0.173	0.000-1.373		
18-49years	0.285	0.027-1.199		

Influenza-related Medic	al Costs				
I Outpatient visit					
5-17years	\$208	\$28-758			
18-49years	\$293	\$23-1295			
II Hospitalization					
5-17years	\$16644	\$1816-66009			
18-49years	\$25113	\$2287-1060			
Vaccination Costs	90 0 0	10			
Per Dose IIV	\$6.86				
Per Dose LAIV	\$12.89	\$10-25			
Administration Costs	\$25	\$10-40			
Parent Time Costs \$32		\$0-\$64			



Research Design/Method

• Cost-Effectiveness Analysis: Competing choice analysis

There are 3 intervention strategies:

influenza vaccination coverage of 50%, 80%, and 100%

Steps:

1. Estimate the **net costs** (from a healthcare perspective) and **net QALYs** in

2024 using a 3% discounting rate.

2. Compare the incremental CE ratios (ICER).

Results	100% Coverage	Age	Death averted	Infection averted	Net Costs (in billion)	Net QALYs (in million)	ICER
		10-14 years	44987.8 (14972, 153307)	1038242351 (370011623, 2164887716)	1752 (1564, 1955)	26.9 (7.6, 58.9)	85489 (30407, 221392)
	\rightarrow	15-19 years	42204.5 (17118, 80266)	915247277 (276639085, 1861313679)	1613 (1421, 1813)	16.8 (4.6, 39.3)	131510 (41316, 364898)
	80%	Age	Death averted	Infection averted	Net Costs (in billion)	Net QALYs (in million)	ICER
Tables	$Coverage \rightarrow$	10-14 years	40489 (1339, 137976)	934418116 (333010461, 1948398944)	1401.281 (1251.257, 1564.151)	24.2 (6.8, 52.8)	75991 (27028, 196792)
		15-19 years	37140 (15064, 70634)	805417604 (243442395, 1637956037)	1290.204 (1137.191, 1450.121)	14.8 (4.2, 34.6)	119555 (37551, 339073)
	50%	Age	Death averted	Infection averted	Net Costs (in billion)	Net QALYs (in million)	ICER
	Coverage	10-14 years	15745.7 (521, 53657)	363384823 (129504068, 757710700)	875.8 (782.0, 977.6)	9.7 (2.9, 21.2)	117324.13 (40766.38, 310276.96)
	\rightarrow	15-19 years	13506 (5478, 25685)	201354401 (60860599, 409489009)	806.4 (710.7, 906.3)	5.4 (1.4, 12.6)	205997.85 (63179.54, 609271.21)

Discussion

- Bold Red text → 2 strong intervention strategies lower than the willingness-to-pay (WTP = \$100,000)
- 100% coverage averted the largest Coverage count of death and infection \rightarrow
- Younger adolescents have higher cost-effectiveness for influenza vaccination
- The ICERs of 80% and 100% coverage are relatively low

1000/	Age	Death averted	Infection averted	Net Costs (in billion)	Net QALYs (in million)	ICER
Coverage	10-14 years	44987.8 (14972, 153307)	1038242351 (370011623, 2164887716)	1752 (1564, 1955)	26.9 (7.6, 58.9)	85489 (30407, 221392)
\rightarrow	15-19 years	42204.5 (17118, 80266)	915247277 (276639085, 1861313679)	1613 (1421, 1813)	16.8 (4.6, 39.3)	131510 (41316, 364898)
80%	Age	Death averted	Infection averted	Net Costs (in billion)	Net QALYs (in million)	ICER
Coverage	10-14 years	40489 (1339, 137976)	934418116 (333010461, 1948398944)	1401.281 (1251.257, 1564.151)	24.2 (6.8, 52.8)	75991 (27028, 196792)
\rightarrow	15-19 years	37140 (15064, 70634)	805417604 (243442395, 1637956037)	1290.204 (1137.191, 1450.121)	14.8 (4.2, 34.6)	119555 (37551, 339073)
50%	Age	Death averted	Infection averted	Net Costs (in billion)	Net QALYs (in million)	ICER
Coverage	10-14 years	15745.7 (521, 53657)	363384823 (129504068, 757710700)	875.8 (782.0, 977.6)	9.7 (2.9, 21.2)	117324.13 (40766.38, 310276.96)
\rightarrow	15-19 years	13506 (5478, 25685)	201354401 (60860599, 409489009)	806.4 (710.7, 906.3)	5.4 (1.4, 12.6)	205997.85 (63179.54, 609271.21)

Discussion

• 80% coverage for younger adolescents dominated the other 2 strategies.

Cause: vaccination shield.



Discussion

• Limitaions:

Discount other factors that could affect the probability and cost of influenza vaccination and adverse effects.

Different outcomes may occur when the cohort groups are infected by different types of influenza virus or take different brands of vaccination



Conclusion

80% coverage of influenza vaccination is the most cost-effective strategy.

100% coverage of influenza vaccination produces the largest health benefits. This strategy is affordable for developed countries such as the US.

References

- 1.Babazadeh, A. et al. (2019) 'Influenza vaccination and Guillain–barré syndrome: Reality or fear', Journal of Translational Internal Medicine, 7(4), pp. 137–142. doi:10.2478/jtim-2019-0028.
- 2.Cheng, Y. et al. (2020) 'Effects of influenza vaccination on the risk of cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and all-cause mortality', Ageing Research Reviews, 62, p. 101124. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2020.101124.
- 3.FASTSTATS Influenza (2023) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at:
- https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/flu.htm (Accessed: 30 January 2024).
- 4.Kim DeLuca, E. et al. (2023) 'Cost-effectiveness of routine annual influenza vaccination by age and risk status', Vaccine, 41(29), pp. 4239–4248. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.04.069.
- 5.NHIS Summary Health Statistics Children (2018) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/KIDS/www/index.htm (Accessed: 30 January 2024).
- 6.Osterholm, M.T. et al. (2012) 'Efficacy and effectiveness of influenza vaccines: A systematic review and meta-analysis', The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 12(1), pp. 36–44. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(11)70295-x.

References

- 7.Peasah, S.K. et al. (2013) 'Influenza cost and cost-effectiveness studies globally A Review', Vaccine, 31(46), pp. 5339–5348. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.09.013.
- 8.Pelton, S.I., Mould-Quevedo, J.F. and Nguyen, V.H. (2023) 'Modelling the population-level benefits and cost-effectiveness of cell-based quadrivalent influenza vaccine for children and adolescents aged 6 months to 17 years in the US', Expert Review of Vaccines, 23(1), pp. 82–87. doi:10.1080/14760584.2023.2295014.
 9.Prosser, L.A. et al. (2006) 'Health benefits, risks, and cost-effectiveness of influenza vaccination of children', Emerging Infectious Diseases, 12(10), pp. 1548–1558. doi:10.3201/eid1210.051015.
 10.Schiller, J.S. and Norris, T. (2023) 'Early Release of Selected Estimates Based on Data From the 2022 National Health Interview Survey', U.S.Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention(National Center for Health Statistics), pp. 1–2.
- 11.Smith, K.J. et al. (2016) 'Cost effectiveness of influenza vaccine choices in children aged 2–8 years in the U.S.', American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 50(5), pp. 600–608. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2015.12.010.
 12.Stratton, K.R. (2012) Adverse effects of vaccines evidence and causality. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

感谢老师批评与指导

THANKS TO THE TEACHER'S CAREFUL GUIDANCE

Student Name: Zirui Liu Research Advisor: Yunfei Li